United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. | | | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Juan Alberto Becerra-Lopez | | | Case Number: _1:06-cr-00169 |
| facts re | | ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this | 142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following case. |
| | (1) | The defendant is charged with an offense describ offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence | |
| | (3) | in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable | ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state since the date of conviction release of the defendant from |
| | Alternate Findings (A) (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. | | |
| × | | There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a | te Findings (B) appear. anger the safety of another person or the community. |
| | | Part II – Written Staten | nent of Reasons for Detention |
| | I fin | d that the credible testimony and information subm | itted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that |
| 2. [| Defen | dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co dant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be dant, through counsel, reserves the right to reopen | · |
| | | Part III – Directio | ns Regarding Detention |
| appeal the Uni | ions f . The ited S | acility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportu | rney General or his designated representative for confinement in a sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending nity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court cent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the opearance in connection with a court proceeding. |
| July 21, 2006 | | | /s/ Ellen S. Carmody |
| Date | | | Signature of Judge |
| | | | Fllen S. Carmody United States Magistrate Judge |

Name and Title of Judge